

DISTRIBUTION AND ABUNDANCE OF COHO AND STEELHEAD IN REDWOOD CREEK
IN AUGUST 1995

Dr. Jerry J. Smith
Department of Biological Sciences
San Jose State University
10 October 1995

ABSTRACT. Despite heavy January and March storms, coho density in Redwood Creek in 1995 was similar to 1992 and 1993. Steelhead were much more abundant than in previous sampling years. High summer streamflows prevented coho and steelhead losses, due to pumping, which occurred at downstream sites in dry years.

INTRODUCTION

Previous electroshock sampling on Redwood Creek in 1988 (Hofstra and Anderson 1989), 1992, 1993 and 1994 (Smith 1994a) has shown very strong coho (Oncorhynchus kisutch) year classes in 1992 and 1993 and weak year classes in 1988 and 1994. Since wild female coho are exclusively three year olds (Shapovalov and Taft 1954), weak year classes will tend to repeat in subsequent three year cycles, if not extirpated. Thus the weak year class in 1994, 2 cycles after the weak 1988 year class, was expected. Similar pronounced variation among year classes has also been shown for Waddell and Scott creeks in Santa Cruz County (Smith 1994b).

The existence of missing or weak year classes appears to be due to severe droughts, which block adult or smolt migration, or to severe floods, which destroy most spawning redds (Smith 1994b). For example, on Scott Creek a strong 1988 coho year class was nearly eliminated in 1991, because of poor adult access due to drought. A February flood also appears to have been a factor in the weak year classes in Scott and Waddell creeks in 1992 (Smith 1994b).

Heavy flooding occurred on many central California streams in January and March of 1995, and destruction of coho redds or recently emerged fry was likely to have occurred in some watersheds. Therefore, previously sampled sites on Redwood Creek were resampled to determine whether the 1995 coho year class had been impacted by the floods.

METHODS

Electroshock sampling was conducted on the four Redwood Creek sites which had previously been sampled in each year from 1992 to 1994 (Table 1). At each site most of the same individual pool, glide, run and riffle habitats were sampled. Changes in channel configuration resulted in some changes in habitats sampled among years, and the total length of stream sampled at the four sites

was somewhat less in 1995 than in other years (Tables 1 and 2).

Individual habitats or habitat units (ie. continuous glide/pool sequence) were block-netted and sampled with 2 passes with a backpack electroshocker (Smith-Root, Type 7). Salmonids were measured in 5 mm increments (standard length) and released. Steelhead (*O. mykiss*) young-of-year were distinguished from yearlings and older fish by length/frequency at each site. Sampled habitats were habitat typed, and depths and cover ratings determined.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

None of the four sampled sites showed evidence of severe channel impact from the high flows in January and March. Banks were not eroded, pool frequency did not appear to be altered, and most habitats and structural features (root wads, logs and debris piles) remained in place. Of 14 resampled pools, 4 were substantially increased in mean and maximum depth; 2 of those pools, which were previously separated by glide habitat, were joined to make a larger pool. In six resampled pools the extent of scour and mean depth was substantially reduced. The changes appeared to reflect sustained high flows, rather than flashy, erosive runoff.

Coho

Coho were collected at all four sites, and overall coho density in 1995 (42 per 100 feet) was similar to that observed in 1992 and 1993 (45-46 per 100 feet) (Table 1). However, the pattern of coho density throughout the stream in 1995 was different from that found in 1992 and 1993, with much smaller differences among sites in 1995 (Table 2). In 1992 and 1993 the highest coho density was at Muir Woods, the uppermost site; in 1995, density at the upper site was about half (45 per 100 feet) of that found in 1992 (84) and 1993 (91) (Table 2) and very close to the overall mean for the 4 sampled sites. In 1992 coho were nearly eliminated from the site downstream of the town and agricultural wells, due to drying of most of the streambed, but in 1995 coho density was 26 per 100 feet.

1995 sampling results indicate little overall adverse impact from the January and March storms, although density at Muir Woods (the uppermost site) was substantially lower than in 1992 and 1993. High spring flows in 1995 appear to have dispersed coho more evenly throughout the stream, and sustained summer flows prevented the coho losses to pumping which occurred in dry years (1992, 1994). Since coho rear primarily in pools, they are affected less than steelhead from summer streamflows, beyond those necessary to maintain pool depth and water quality (Smith 1994C).

Steelhead

Overall, young-of-year steelhead density was much higher in 1995 (97 fish per 100 feet) than in 1992 (23 per 100 feet), 1993 (56 per 100 feet) and both July and October 1994 (69 and 34 per 100 feet) (Tables 1). Much of the difference between 1995, 1992 and 1994 was due to substantial density differences among years at the two downstream sites. In 1995 young-of-year steelhead density was more than twice as high (132 and 143 fish per 100 feet) at the two downstream sites, as at the two upstream sites (57 and 51 per 100 feet) (Table 2). However, in 1992 and 1994 pumping by the agricultural and domestic wells dried or severely reduced flow, resulting in severely reduced densities of steelhead at the two downstream sites.

The sustained high stream flows in the summer of 1995 probably prevented the substantial late summer density declines which occur in dry years, such as in 1994 (Smith 1994C). The higher streamflows in 1995 also resulted in better growth than in dry years (Figure 1). The higher growth, resulting from high summer streamflows, may be at least as important as the increased density, as smolting and ocean survival are size dependent (Shapovalov and Taft 1954).

Yearling steelhead density in 1995 (4 per 100 feet) was the same as that found in 1992 and 1993. The higher yearling densities observed in 1994 primarily reflect intensive sampling of pools in an attempt to locate the scarce coho. The apparently low densities of yearling steelhead in all years suggests many steelhead smolts may spend only one year in Redwood Creek, rather than the 2 years more typical of steelhead in small streams (Shapovalov and Taft 1954). One-year smolting can occur when first summer growth is good, as in productive lagoons or productive streams with high summer flows; this is not the case in Redwood Creek. One-year smolting can also occur if feeding conditions in the stream or lagoon are very good for an extended period prior to spring outmigration. Analysis of the scales of adult steelhead would indicate the proportion of one and two year old smolts and the also the role of spring feeding prior to outmigration.

LITERATURE CITED

- Hofstra, T. and D. Anderson. 1989. Survey of salmonid fish and their habitat. Redwood Creek. Marin County, California. Unpublished National Park Service Report. 18+ pp.
- Shapovalov. L. and A.C. Taft. 1954. The life histories of the steelhead (Salmo gairdneri gairdneri) and silver salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) with special reference to Waddell Creek, California, and recommendations regarding their management. Calif. Fish and Game Fish Bulletin 98. 303 pp + apps.
- Smith, J. 1994a. Abundance of coho and steelhead in Redwood Creek in 1994. Report to Golden Gate National Recreation Area, National Park Service. 10 pp.
- Smith, J. 1994b. Distribution and abundance of juvenile coho and steelhead in Scott and Waddell creeks in 1988 and 1994: implications for status of southern coho. 12 page unpublished report.
- Smith, J. 1994c. The effect of drought and pumping on steelhead and coho in Redwood Creek from July to October 1994. Report to Golden Gate National Recreation Area, National Park Service. 6 pp.

Table 1. Habitats sampled and estimated mean densities of coho and steelhead on Redwood Creek in 1988 (Hofstra and Anderson 1989), 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995.

| Number of Sites | Sample Date | Habitat Types Sampled | | | | Length Sampled (feet) | Density | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----------------------|---------|--------------|----------------|
| | | Pol | Gld | Run | Rif | | Coho | Steelhead 0+ | Steelhead 1/2+ |
| 4 sites | Oct 88 | | | | | 436 + | 5 | --- | 16--- |
| 4 sites | Jun-Sep 92 | 37 | 40 | 5 | 7 | 1032 | 45 | 23 | 4 |
| 4 sites | Jun-Aug 93 | 48 | 25 | 18 | 9 | 951 | 46 | 56 | 4 |
| 7 sites | July 94 | 58 | 25 | 12 | 6 | 1287 | 2 | 69 | 14 |
| 5 sites | Oct 94 | 83 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 1018 | 2 | 34 | 6 |
| 4 sites | Aug 95 | 41 | 30 | 19 | 10 | 796 | 42 | 97 | 4 |

Table 2. Density estimates (number of fish per 100 feet) for coho and steelhead collected on Redwood Creek at the four sites sampled in each year from 1992 through 1995.

| Sites | Sample Date | | | Habitat Types Sampled | | | | Length Sampled (feet) | Density | | |
|---|-------------|-----|----|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----------------------|---------|--------------|------|
| | | | | Pol | Gld | Run | Rif | | Coho | Steelhead 0+ | 1/2+ |
| 2. Lower Muir Woods (Miles 2.5 & 2.8) | 20 | Aug | 92 | 30 | 53 | 12 | 5 | 302 | 84 | 19 | 8 |
| | 24 | Jun | 93 | 45 | 23 | 22 | 9 | 233 | 91 | 52 | 4 |
| | 7 | Jul | 94 | 47 | 32 | 15 | 6 | 256 | 0 | 56 | 15 |
| | 22 | Aug | 95 | 37 | 30 | 21 | 11 | 276 | 45 | 57 | 6 |
| 5. >3rd Bridge (mile 1.25) | 19 | Sep | 92 | 60 | 15 | 7 | 19 | 166 | 30 | 16 | 7 |
| | 19 | Aug | 93 | 63 | 12 | 10 | 15 | 253 | 30 | 26 | 5 |
| | 7 | Jul | 94 | 63 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 136 | 0 | 45 | 14 |
| | 30 | Oct | 94 | 53 | 25 | 15 | 7 | 177 | 0 | 20 | 8 |
| | 22 | Aug | 95 | 75 | | 16 | 10 | 127 | 43 | 51 | 7 |
| 6. Downstream of Diversions (mile 0.85) | 19 | Sep | 92 | 19 | 37 | dry | | 250 129 wet | 13 | 6 | 1 |
| | 14 | Nov | 92 | | | | | 250 | 4 | 6 | 0.4 |
| | 24 | Jun | 93 | 55 | 29 | 9 | 7 | 210 | 25 | 90 | 3 |
| | 10 | Sep | 93 | 51 | 34 | 9 | 6 | 221 | 16 | 72 | 4 |
| | 7 | Jul | 94 | 41 | 36 | 17 | 6 | 231 | 0 | 148 | 9 |
| | 30 | Oct | 94 | isolated pools | | | | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 23 | Aug | 95 | 39 | 36 | 19 | 6 | 209 | 26 | 132 | 3 |
| 7. 1st Bridge (Mile 0.35) | 23 | Jul | 92 | 39 | 56 | 2 | 4 | 314 | 54 | 49 | 1 |
| | 14 | Nov | 92 | 39 | 56 | 2 | 4 | 314 | 33 | 29 | 2 |
| | 8 | Jun | 93 | 27 | 35 | 32 | 5 | 255 | 39 | 55 | 4 |
| | 10 | Sep | 93 | 26 | 49 | 16 | 9 | 271 | 14 | 34 | 1 |
| | 8 | Jul | 94 | 14 | 46 | 30 | 10 | 242 | 0.4 | 75 | 0.4 |
| | 23 | Aug | 95 | 13 | 55 | 19 | 13 | 184 | 54 | 142 | 0.5 |

Figure 1. Standard lengths of young-of-year steelhead at Site 5 (Third Bridge) and Site 3 (> Kent Canyon) in October 1994 and at Site 5 in August 1995.

| | | 1994 | 1995 |
|---------|----|---------------------|---------------------|
| 40 - 44 | mm | xxxxxxx | |
| 45 - 49 | | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx | xx |
| 50 - 54 | | xxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| 55 - 59 | | xxxxxxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| 60 - 64 | | xxxxxxx | xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| 65 - 69 | | xxxxxxx | xxxxxxx |
| 70 - 74 | | x | xxxxxxx |
| 75 - 79 | | | xx |
| 80 - 84 | | | xx |
| 85 - 89 | | | x |

Appendix A. Density estimates (number of fish per 100 feet)
for coho and steelhead collected on Redwood Creek in 1988
(Hofstra and Anderson 1989), 1992, 1993, 1994 and 1995.
(*Data for site 8 are actual capture totals, not density estimates).

| Site | Sample Date | | | Habitat Types Sampled | | | | Length Sampled (feet) | Density | | |
|--|-------------|-----|----|--------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----------------------------|---------|------------------------|----|
| | | | | Pol | Gld | Run | Rif | | Coho | Steelhead 0 + 1/2 + | |
| 1. Upper Muir Woods (Miles 3.3 & 3.6) | 5 | Oct | 88 | | | | | 233 | 10 | ---18--- | |
| | 26 | Jul | 94 | 30 | 20 | | | 175 | 4 | 40 | 12 |
| 2. Lower Muir Woods (Miles 2.5 & 2.8) | 20 | Aug | 92 | 30 | 53 | 12 | 5 | 302 | 84 | 19 | 8 |
| | 24 | Jun | 93 | 45 | 23 | 22 | 9 | 233 | 91 | 52 | 4 |
| | 7 | Jul | 94 | 47 | 32 | 15 | 6 | 256 | 0 | 56 | 15 |
| | 30 | Oct | 94 | 75 | 25 | | | 220 | 1 | 14 | 16 |
| 3. 0.35 mi > Kent Cyn (mile 2.1) | 22 | Aug | 95 | 37 | 30 | 21 | 11 | 276 | 45 | 57 | 6 |
| | 6 | Oct | 88 | | | | | 105 | 11 | ---24--- | |
| | 26 | Jul | 94 | 75 | 13 | 7 | 5 | 179 | 9 | 60 | 9 |
| 4. 0.5 Mi > 3rd bridge (mile 1.65) | 30 | Oct | 94 | 86 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 148 | 10 | 19 | 7 |
| | 8 | Jul | 94 | 84 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 61 | 35 |
| 5. >3rd Bridge (mile 1.25) | 6 | Oct | 88 | | | | | 98 | 1 | ---23--- | |
| | 19 | Sep | 92 | 60 | 15 | 7 | 19 | 166 | 30 | 16 | 7 |
| | 19 | Aug | 93 | 63 | 12 | 10 | 15 | 253 | 30 | 26 | 5 |
| | 7 | Jul | 94 | 63 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 136 | 0 | 45 | 14 |
| | 30 | Oct | 94 | 53 | 25 | 15 | 7 | 177 | 0 | 20 | 8 |
| | 22 | Aug | 95 | 75 | | 16 | 10 | 127 | 43 | 51 | 7 |

Appendix A (continued)

| Site | Sample Date | | | Habitat Types Sampled | | | | Length Sampled (feet) | Coho | Density Steelhead | |
|---|-------------|-----|----|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----------------------|------|-------------------|-------|
| | | | | Pol | Gld | Run | Rif | | | 0 + | 1/2 + |
| 6. Downstream of Diversions (mile 0.85) | 6 | Oct | 86 | dry | | | | | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| | 19 | Sep | 92 | 19 | 37 | dry | | 250 129 wet | 13 | 6 | 1 |
| | 14 | Nov | 92 | | | | | 250 | 4 | 6 | 0.4 |
| | 24 | Jun | 93 | 55 | 29 | 9 | 7 | 210 | 25 | 90 | 3 |
| | 10 | Sep | 93 | 51 | 34 | 9 | 6 | 221 | 16 | 72 | 4 |
| | 7 | Jul | 94 | 41 | 36 | 17 | 6 | 231 | 0 | 148 | 9 |
| | 30 | Oct | 94 | isolated pools | | | | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 23 | Aug | 95 | 39 | 36 | 19 | 6 | 209 | 56 | 132 | 3 |
| 7. 1st Bridge (Mile 0.35) | 23 | Jul | 92 | 39 | 56 | 2 | 4 | 314 | 54 | 49 | 1 |
| | 14 | Aug | 92 | | | | | | | | |
| | 14 | Nov | 92 | 39 | 56 | 2 | 4 | 314 | 33 | 29 | 2 |
| | 8 | Jun | 93 | 27 | 35 | 32 | 5 | 255 | 39 | 55 | 4 |
| | 10 | Sep | 93 | 26 | 49 | 16 | 9 | 271 | 14 | 34 | 1 |
| | 8 | Jul | 94 | 14 | 46 | 30 | 10 | 242 | 0.4 | 75 | 4 |
| | 30 | Oct | 94 | isolated pools | | | | 242 | 0 | 6 | 0.4 |
| | 23 | Aug | 95 | 13 | 55 | 19 | 13 | 184 | 54 | 142 | 0.5 |
| 8.* Pools Above Delta (Mile 0.15) | 23 | Jul | 92 | 70 | 30 | | | 200 | 59 | 22 | 3 |
| | 14 | Aug | 92 | 70 | 30 | | | 200 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| | 14 | Nov | 92 | 70 | 30 | | | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 4 | Jun | 93 | 99 | | | | 50 | 29 | 14 | 4 |
| | 8 | Jun | 93 | 70 | 30 | | | 200 | 17 | 6 | 2 |
| | 19 | Aug | 93 | 70 | 30 | | | 200 | 6 | 16 | 0 |
| | 10 | Sep | 93 | 70 | 30 | | | 200 | 4 | 9 | 0 |
| | 8 | Jul | 94 | 60 | 40 | | | 160 | 0 | 1 | 3 |